Class and Section: MIS4173-601 Date: 3/08/2021

## **Assignment: Sprint Review & Retrospective 2**

Group #: 17

Jacob Bridgers, Andrew Jannings

SR&R 2 Documentation Grade: **91** SR&R 2 Presentation Grade: **96**

***Presentation graded with COB BCC Rubric by Richard O’Dor***

#### SR&R 2 – Overall

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Content  (Overall Project) | 4- Exceptional  3 -Good  2- Satisfactory  1- Poor  0 -Unacceptable | **Comments:**  You all have done a good job. Please look over our comments and address these areas in your documentation and presentation to improve your solution. Overall, both your presentation and your documentation are good. You are progressing well.  Dr. Kisling and Jarrett Eason (03/08/2021) |

#### SR&R 2 – Documentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Overall Organization** |  |  |
| Title Page | **2** | 2 |
| Table of Contents | **2** | 2 |
| **SR&R 2 Required Documents** |  |  |
| **Project Documents New, Fixes, & Updates** |  |  |
| Any Previous Documentation | **15** | 12 |
| Preliminary Non-functional Requirements | **20** | 20 |
| Any New Documentation | **20** | 20 |
| **Sprint Documents** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 2 Backlog | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 2 Burn Down Chart | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 2 Daily SCRUM Meeting Log | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 2 Team Evaluation Document | **7** | 7 |
| **Updated Project Plan** | **6** | 0 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 91 |

#### SR&R 2 – Presentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Presentation** |  |  |
| Overall Organization of Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| Preliminary Non-functional Requirements | **20** | 20 |
| Project Updates (please include UCD & CD) | **15** | 15 |
| **Sprint Items** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **10** | 10 |
| Sprint 2 Backlog | **10** | 10 |
| Sprint 2 Burn Down Chart | **10** | 10 |
| Sprint 2 Potentially Shippable Product Increment | **20** | 20 |
| **Discussion with Class** |  |  |
| Facilitation | **5** | 3 |
| Input from peers | **5** | 3 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 96 |

**Comments:**

Presentation:

* Consider separating the non-functional requirements into the 5 categories.
* Updates look good.
* PSPI good.
* No peers to facilitate

Documentation:

* All documentation is present except for previous documentation and project plan.
  + You want to compile the documentation as you go since you have to turn in one large report at the end
* Issues with presentation pertain to documentation as well.